Atty Dkt No. VEI 0368 PUS

S/N: 10/016,274

Reply to Office Action of February 27, 2004

Remarks

In the Office Action dated February 27, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-

11, 14, 15 and 17-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by PCT reference

WO99/64224. The Examiner rejected claims 12 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being

unpatentable over the PCT reference in view of the U.S. Patent to Edwards, et al. 5,891,560.

The Examiner objected to claim16 but indicated that it would be allowable if rewritten.

By this Amendment, Applicant's Attorney has taken the Examiner's suggestion

and has rewritten claim 16 by incorporating the subject matter of old claim 16 into old claim

1 to obtain now amended claim 1.

Consequently, in view of the above and in the absence of better art, Applicant's

Attorney respectfully submits the application is in condition for allowance which allowance is

Bv

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Darius J. Preisler

David R. Syrowik

Reg. No. 27,956

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: May 19, 2004

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-5-